

Appendix 1

Overview of Core Strategy policies (Appendix 2)

Policy number	Policy name	Use/not use	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance	London plan	General conformity	Evidence	Replacement document
Strategic target policy 1	Achieving growth	Use	14, 17	Yes	3.3, 3.11, 4.2, 4.7	Yes	As set out in the housing and employment policies	New Southwark Plan
Strategic target policy 2	Improving places	Use	14, 17	Yes	chapter 2	Yes	As set out in the housing and employment policies	New Southwark Plan
Strategic policy 1	Sustainable development	Use	para 6-15	Yes	All policies	Yes	NPPF (2012); London Plan (2011)	New Southwark Plan
Strategic policy 2	Sustainable transport	Use	Paras 29 to 41	Yes	Policies 6.2, 6.3, 6.7, 6.9, 6.10, 6.12	Yes	Transport Plan 2011	New Southwark Plan
Strategic policy 3	Shopping, leisure and entertainment	Use	Paras 23, 24	Yes	Policies 2.15, 4.7-4.8, Annex 2	Yes	Employment Land Review 2010, Economic Well-being strategy 2012, Southwark Retail Capacity Study 2009, Town Centre retail surveys 2012, Annual monitoring reports, London Plan 2011, London Annual Monitoring reports, London Economic Development Strategy, Street Trading and Markets Strategy 2010	New Southwark Plan
Strategic policy 4	Places for learning, enjoyment and healthy lifestyles	Use	69, 70, 72	Yes	3.1, 3.16, 3.17, 3.18, 3.19	Yes	NPPF (2012); GLA pupil projections; Southwark Primary Strategy for Change (2008); Moving towards a Primary Investment Strategy (2012); Building Schools for the Future: Strategic business case (2006); NHS Southwark Strategic Plan 2010/11-2014/15	New Southwark Plan

Policy number	Policy name	Use/not use	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance	London plan	General conformity	Evidence	Replacement document
Strategic policy 5	Providing new homes	Use	47-52	Yes	3.3, 3.4, 3.8, 3.9	Yes	Strategic Housing Market Assessment (2009) Housing Requirements Study (2009) and sub reports Affordable Housing Viability Study (2010) Housing Development Capacity Assessment Affordable rent study (2011) Affordable rent viability study (2011) Gypsies and Travellers Accommodation Needs Assessment (2008) Annual Monitoring Report Southwark Housing Strategy 2009-16 (plus updates)	New Southwark Plan
Strategic policy 6	Homes for people on different incomes	Use	47-52	Yes	3.10, 3.11	Yes	Strategic Housing Market Assessment (2009) Housing Requirements Study (2009) and sub reports Affordable Housing Viability Study (2010) Housing Development Capacity Assessment Affordable rent study (2011) Affordable rent viability study (2011)	New Southwark Plan

Policy number	Policy name	Use/not use	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance	London plan	General conformity	Evidence	Replacement document
Strategic policy 7	Family homes	Use	47-52	Yes	3.8, 3.9	Yes	Strategic Housing Market Assessment (2009) Housing Requirements Study (2009) and sub reports Affordable Housing Viability Study (2010) Housing Development Capacity Assessment Affordable rent study (2011) Affordable rent viability study (2011)	New Southwark Plan
Strategic policy 8	Student homes	Use	47-52	Yes	3.8	Yes	London Development Agency London Student Housing Requirements Study, 2007; Students in Southwark Report, 2008; Southwark Student Housing Study, 2010; Southwark Student Housing Study - Implementation, 2011	New Southwark Plan
Strategic policy 9	Homes for Travellers and Gypsies	Use	47-52	Yes	3.8	Yes	Gypsies and Travellers Accommodation Needs Assessment (2008) Annual Monitoring Report	New Southwark Plan
Strategic policy 10	Jobs and business	Use	21,22,160,161	Yes	4.2,4.3,2.17,4.4	Yes	Employment land review 2009, Southwark Economic Well-being Strategy 2012-2016, Southwark Annual Monitoring Reports, London Plan 2011, London Annual Monitoring reports, London Economic Development Strategy, Mayor's SPG on Land for Industry and Transport 2012, London Industrial Land Demand and Release Bencharks, Roger Tym and Partners 2012, London Office Policy Review 2012	New Southwark Plan

Policy number	Policy name	Use/not use	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance	London plan	General conformity	Evidence	Replacement document
Strategic policy 11	Open spaces and wildlife	Use	73-76, 109	Yes	2.18, 5.10, 5.11, 7.18-23, 7.29, 7.30	Yes	NPPF (2012), London Plan (2011), Southwark Open space strategy (2013) Southwark's Biodiversity Action Plan (2013), Southwark's Tree Management Strategy (2013)	New Southwark Plan
Strategic policy 12	Design and conservation	Use	9, 17, 56-68, 126-141, 169,170	Yes	7.1 - 7.30	Yes	NPPF (2012), London Plan (2011), Borough-wide Strategic Tall Building Study (2010), Core Strategy: Design and conservation background paper (2010), conservation area appraisals, area characterisation studies, area urban design background papers and studies, Sustainable design and construction SPD (2009), Residential design standards SPD (2011)	New Southwark Plan
Strategic policy 13	High environmental standards	Use	17, 95, 98, 99, 109, 120	Yes	policies 5.1-5.22	Yes	NPPF (2012), London Plan (2011),	New Southwark Plan
Strategic policy 14	Implementation and delivery	Use	14, 17, 31, 33, 100, 150, 203, 204	Yes	chapter 8	Yes	As set out in all of the policies above.	New Southwark Plan

Overview of Southwark Plan policies (Appendix 3)

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
Part 1		Not saved in 2010								
SP20	Development site uses	Use	None	157	yes	n/a	Yes	GDPO, PCPA	New Southwark Plan	
Part 2										
1.1	Access to employment	Use	None	17,21,156,204 Regulation 122 of the CIL Regulations (2010)	yes	4.12	Yes	Employment land review 2009, Southwark Economic Development Strategy 2012, Southwark Annual Monitoring Reports, London Plan 2011, London Annual Monitoring reports, London Economic Development Strategy, s106 Planning Obligations SPD	Local Plan	Policy 1.1 forms part of a clear economic vision and strategy for the area which is established through the Core Strategy and the borough's Economic Development Strategy 2010-2016. Fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development: The mechanism we use to secure the use of work place coordinators whose role it is to target the local population in meeting training and recruitment needs and which is set out in the Planning Obligations SPD, relates to the scale of the proposal and the number of jobs and apprenticeships created on-site. Necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms: The purpose of the policy is to reduce barriers to employment for new residents. Despite job creation in Southwark in recent years, levels of unemployment and economic inactivity are above the London and UK averages, we would expect there to be unemployment amongst the new residents. Using development to reduce barriers to

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
1.2	Strategic and Local PILs	Use	Updated the industrial land release target	21,22,160,161	yes	2.17,4.4	yes	Employment land review 2009, Southwark Economic Development Strategy 2012, Southwark Annual Monitoring Reports, London Plan 2011, London Annual Monitoring reports, London Economic Development Strategy, London SPG on Land for Industry and Transport 2012, London Industrial Land Demand	Local Plan	<p>Policy 1.2 forms part of a clear economic vision and strategy for the area which is established through the core strategy. Land for strategic and local investment has been identified through the PIL designations. Designation of PILs has been confirmed by the Core Strategy examination and was informed by an Employment Land Review (2010). The ELR assessed the quantitative and qualitative needs for business activities and existing and future supply of land. The council has released 19 ha of industrial and warehousing space since 2006 and through the release of the Tower Bridge Business Complex and other sites outside PILs in the borough, it expects to lose a further 18 ha of industrial and warehousing space by 2026. In his new SPG, the mayor has rolled the targets forward to 2031 and when we review the local plan, we'll need to do the same.</p>
										<p>HOWEVER: The GPDO is changing to allow a permitted development right for the temporary change of use to a new school from any other use class along with minor associated physical development. This will be for a single year which would cover the first academic year. It will provide certainty that a school opening will not be delayed by an outstanding planning application, but will not replace the need to secure planning permission for the use beyond that first year.</p>

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
1.3	<i>Preferred Office Locations</i>	<i>Replaced by CS Policy 10</i>	<i>Replaced by CS Policy 10</i>							
1.4	Employment sites outside PILS and POLS	Use	The reference to Public Transport Accessibility Zone has been deleted. CS Policy 10 replaces the list of locations where business space is protected	21,22,160,161	yes	4.2,4.3	yes	Employment land review 2009, Southwark Economic Well-being Strategy 2012-2016, Southwark Annual Monitoring Reports, London Plan 2011, London Annual Monitoring reports, London Economic Development Strategy, Mayor's SPG on Land for Industry and Transport 2012, London Industrial	Local Plan	Policy 1.4 forms part of a clear economic vision and strategy for the area which is established through the core strategy. It identifies locations in which space should be provided. Land outside these locations is released for other uses. Within the locations listed, criteria are set out which ensure that where there is no reasonable prospect of land coming forward for business use, it can be released for other purposes. The strategy is founded on a robust analysis of current and future needs for business space (the Employment Land Review, 2010). The strategy and approach were examined by the inspector in the Core Strategy EIP. The inspector concluded that: "The Council's evidence represents a proportionate and credible means of assessing employment land for the purposes of setting a strategy for the Borough and for controlling the release of surplus land... In conjunction with SP10, the saved policies of the UDP (for example Policy 1.4) will provide adequate flexibility in relation to the

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
										<p>HOWEVER: Changes to the GPDO will allow change of use of B1 office space to residential. We need to await government response to borough's request for 'Exemptions' (CAZ, Canada Water, Peckham, Camberwell town centres and PILs). AND</p>

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
										<p>The GPDO is changing to allow a permitted development right to allow for the temporary change of use to a new state-funded school from any other use class along with minor associated physical development. This will be for a single year which would cover the first academic year. It will provide certainty that a school opening will not be delayed by an outstanding planning application, but will not replace the need to secure planning permission for the use beyond that first year. and;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A permitted development right to allow change of use to a new state-funded school from offices (B1); hotels (C1); residential institutions (C2); secure residential institutions (C2A); and assembly and leisure (D2). Any subsequent change from a new state-funded school to other uses in non-residential institution class (D1) will not be permitted. These changes will be subject to a prior approval process to mitigate any adverse transport and noise impacts.

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
1.5	Small business units	Use	The reference to policy 1.3 has been deleted	21	yes	4.1,4.2,4.4	yes	Employment land review 2009, Southwark Economic Well-being strategy 2012, Southwark Annual Monitoring Reports, London Plan 2011, London Annual Monitoring reports, London Economic Development Strategy,	Local Plan	<p>Policy 1.6 forms part of a clear economic vision and strategy which is established through the core strategy. The majority of businesses in the borough are small and medium sized enterprises. The policy seeks to ensure an adequate supply of space for such businesses. This policy also assists with providing affordable business space as adequate supply of small spaces are being encouraged.</p> <p>Conclusion: Conclusion: Policy 1.5 is consistent with the NPPF and should be given significant weight in determining planning applications. HOWEVER: Changes to the GPDO will allow change of use of B1 office space to residential. We need to await government response to borough's request for 'Exemptions' (CAZ, Canada Water, Peckham, Camberwell town centres and PILs). AND</p>

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
										<p>The GPDO is changing to allow a permitted development right to allow for the temporary change of use to a new state-funded school from any other use class along with minor associated physical development. This will be for a single year which would cover the first academic year. It will provide certainty that a school opening will not be delayed by an outstanding planning application, but will not replace the need to secure planning permission for the use beyond that first year. and;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A permitted development right to allow change of use to a new school from offices (B1); hotels (C1); residential institutions (C2); secure residential institutions (C2A); and assembly and leisure (D2). Any subsequent change from a new state-funded school to other uses in non-residential institution class (D1) will not be permitted. These changes will be subject to a prior approval process to mitigate any adverse transport and noise impacts.

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
1.6	Live/work units	Use	None	21	yes	4.3, 4.6	yes	Employment Land Review 2010, Economic Development Strategy 2010, Annual monitoring reports	Local Plan	<p>Policy 1.6 forms part of a clear economic vision and strategy for the area which is established through the core strategy. In providing guidance on live-work units, policy 1.6 helps facilitate flexible working practices, consistent with the NPPF. HOWEVER.</p> <p>- The forthcoming changes to the GPDO will allow a range of buildings in town centres to convert temporarily to a set of alternative uses including shops (A1), financial and professional services (A2), restaurants and cafes (A3) and offices (B1) for up to two years. Existing Live-work units in town centres could be lost</p>

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
1.7	Development within town and local centres	Use	Changes to the town centre designations covered by the policy.	23	yes	4.8	yes	Employment Land Review 2010, Economic Development Strategy 2010, Southwark Retail Capacity Study 2009, Town Centre retail surveys 2012, Annual monitoring reports, London Plan 2011, London Annual Monitoring reports, London Economic Development Strategy, Street Trading and Markets Strategy 2010	Local Plan	Policy 1.7 sets out the network of town centres. This has recently been reviewed and updated through the Core Strategy. The council has undertaken an assessment of need and set out a strategy which involves expanding Elephant and Castle and Canada Water in particular. Both have been elevated up the hierarchy to become major centres. Policy 1.7 also sets out a range of criteria designed to ensure that the vitality and viability of the centres is retained. HOWEVER. - The forthcoming changes to the GPDO will allow a range of buildings in town centres to convert temporarily to a set of alternative uses including shops (A1), financial and professional services (A2), restaurants and cafes (A3) and offices (B1) for up to two years. AND

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
										<p>The GPDO is changing to allow a permitted development right to allow for the temporary change of use to a new state-funded school from any other use class along with minor associated physical development. This will be for a single year which would cover the first academic year. It will provide certainty that a school opening will not be delayed by an outstanding planning application, but will not replace the need to secure planning permission for the use beyond that first year. and;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A permitted development right to allow change of use to a new school from offices (B1); hotels (C1); residential institutions (C2); secure residential institutions (C2A); and assembly and leisure (D2). Any subsequent change from a new state-funded school to other uses in non-residential institution class (D1) will not be permitted. These changes will be subject to a prior approval process to mitigate any adverse transport and noise impacts.

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
1.8	<i>Location of developments for retail and other town centre uses</i>	<i>Do not save</i>	<i>None</i>	<i>23,24,26,27</i>	<i>N/A</i>	<i>N/A</i>	<i>N/A</i>	<i>NPPF</i>	<i>Replaced by NPPF</i>	<i>Criterion i in policy 1.8 which refers to 'need' no longer has weight. While criteria ii-v are consistent with paras 23-26 of the NPF, the terminology in the NPPF supersedes the terminology used in policy 1.8. With the exception of criterion i, the tests in policy 1.8 reflect those in the NPPF. Because the tests in the NPPF are more up-to-date, it supersedes policy 1.8. Policy 1.8 no longer has weight.</i>

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
1.9	Change of use within protected shopping frontages	Use	None	23,69, 70	yes	4.8,4.9	yes	Retail Capacity Study 2009, Town Centre retail surveys 2012	Local Plan	<p>Policy 1.9 and appendix 5 of the Southwark Plan define protected shopping frontages. These amalgamate primary and secondary frontages. Within the protected shopping frontages, the policy seeks to maintain a balance of uses which ensures customer choice and a diverse retail offer. The policy is consistent with the NPPF.</p> <p>HOWEVER: The forthcoming changes to the GPDO will allow a range of buildings in town centres to convert temporarily to a set of alternative uses including shops (A1), financial and professional services (A2), restaurants and cafes (A3) and offices (B1) for up to two years.</p>
										<p>The GPDO is changing to allow a permitted development right to allow for the temporary change of use to a new state-funded school from any other use class along with minor associated physical development. This will be for a single year which would cover the first academic year. It will provide certainty that a school opening will not be delayed by an outstanding planning application, but will not replace the need to secure planning permission for the use beyond that first year. and;</p>

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
1.10	Small scale shops and services outside the town and local centres and protected shopping frontages	Use	None	70,23	yes	4.8,4.9	yes	Retail Capacity Study 2009, Town Centre retail surveys 2012	Local Plan	Policy 1.10 seeks to ensure that local shops are available to meet day-to-day needs in locations which are accessible, consistent with the NPPF. HOWEVER: The forthcoming changes to the GPDO will allow a range of buildings in town centres to convert temporarily to a set of alternative uses including shops (A1), financial and professional services (A2), restaurants and cafes (A3) and offices (B1) for up to two years.
1.11	Arts, culture and tourism uses	Use	None	23,30,70	yes	4.6	yes	Employment Land Review 2010, Economic Development Strategy 2010, Annual monitoring reports, London Plan 2011, London Annual Monitoring reports, London Economic Development Strategy	Local Plan	Policy 1.11 seeks to direct arts, cultural and tourism uses towards the strategic cultural areas and other areas which have good access to public transport. This is consistent with the thrust of the NPF which seeks to protect town centres and ensure that facilities which attract a lot of people are located in areas with good public transport accessibility. HOWEVER: The forthcoming changes to the GPDO will allow a range of buildings in town centres to convert temporarily to a set of alternative uses including shops (A1), financial and professional services (A2), restaurants and cafes (A3) and offices (B1) for up to two years. and

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
										<p>The GPDO is changing to allow a permitted development right to allow for the temporary change of use to a new state-funded school from any other use class along with minor associated physical development. This will be for a single year which would cover the first academic year. It will provide certainty that a school opening will not be delayed by an outstanding planning application, but will not replace the need to secure planning permission for the use beyond that first year. and;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A permitted development right to allow change of use to a new state-funded school from offices (B1); hotels (C1); residential institutions (C2); secure residential institutions (C2A); and assembly and leisure (D2). Any subsequent change from a new state-funded school to other uses in non-residential institution class (D1) will not be permitted. These changes will be subject to a prior approval process to mitigate any adverse transport and noise impacts.

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
1.12	Hotels and visitor accommodation	Use	None	23,30	yes	4.5	yes	Employment Land Review 2010, Economic Development Strategy 2010, Annual monitoring reports, London Plan 2011, London Annual Monitoring reports, London Economic Development Strategy, London Hotel Demand Study 2006, map of hotel permissions in Southwark 2012	Local Plan	Policy 1.12 seeks to direct hotels and visitor accommodation towards areas which have good access to public transport. This is consistent with the thrust of the NPF which seeks to protect town centres and ensure that facilities which attract a lot of people are located in areas with good public transport accessibility.
2.1	Enhancement of community facilities	Use	None	7, 17, 70	yes	3.4, 3.6, 3.16, 3.17, 3.19	yes	NPPF (2012) Anecdotal evidence to demand for community facilities evidenced through consultations as part of the preparation of planning documents	Local Plan	The NPPF (paragraph 70) specifically states that planning policies and decisions should "guard against the unnecessary loss of valued facilities and services, particularly where this would reduce the community's ability to meet its day-to-day needs". The aims of the policy are entirely consistent with the NPPF. Specific criteria for saving particular uses will be considered in further detail as part of the preparation of the New Southwark Plan.

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
2.2	Provision of new community facilities	Use	None	7, 17, 70, 156	yes	3.4, 3.6, 3.16, 3.17, 3.19	yes	NPPF (2012) Anecdotal evidence to demand for community facilities evidenced through consultations as part of the preparation of planning documents	Local Plan	Policy 2.2 promotes the provision of community facilities where they would be made available to all members of the community and where provision would not be detrimental to neighbours. This is in-keeping with the NPPF, specifically paragraphs 69 and 70, which states that planning policies should plan positively for the provision of community facilities. Specific criteria linked to the provision of new community facilities will be considered in the forthcoming New Southwark Plan, as well as in Area Action Plans and Supplementary Planning Documents, where appropriate.

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
2.3	Enhancement of education establishments	Save	None	7, 17, 70, 72	yes	3.4, 3.16, 3.18, 3.10	yes	NPPF (2012); GLA pupil projections; Southwark Primary Strategy for Change (2008); Moving towards a Primary Investment Strategy (2012); Building Schools for the Future: Strategic business case (2006)	Local Plan	<p>School place planning indicates shortfalls in capacity at primary school level and secondary level over the plan period. The NPPF (paragraph 72) stresses the importance of ensuring sufficient choice of school places is available to meet current and future needs.</p> <p>Specific criteria linked to the loss of educational floorspace will be considered as part of the preparation of the New Southwark Plan.</p>

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
										<p>The GPDO is changing to allow a permitted development right to allow for the temporary change of use to a new state-funded school from any other use class along with minor associated physical development. This will be for a single year which would cover the first academic year. It will provide certainty that a school opening will not be delayed by an outstanding planning application, but will not replace the need to secure planning permission for the use beyond that first year. and;</p> <p>A permitted development right to allow change of use to a new school from offices (B1); hotels (C1); residential institutions (C2); secure residential institutions (C2A); and assembly and leisure (D2). Any subsequent change from a new state-funded school to other uses in non-residential institution class (D1) will not be permitted. These changes will be subject to a prior approval process to mitigate any adverse transport and noise impacts.</p>

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
2.4	Educational deficiency - provision of new educational establishments	Use	None	7, 17, 70, 72	yes	3.4, 3.16, 3.18, 3.19	yes	NPPF (2012); GLA pupil projections; Southwark Primary Strategy for Change (2008); Moving towards a Primary Investment Strategy (2012); Building Schools for the Future: Strategic business case (2006)	Local Plan	The NPPF emphasises the need to ensure that there is sufficient choice of school places available. Encouraging any new educational facilities to be made available to the wider public is in conformity with a number of the NPPF objectives, notably those around providing local facilities to help create sustainable communities.

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
										<p>The GPDO is changing to allow a permitted development right to allow for the temporary change of use to a new state-funded school from any other use class along with minor associated physical development. This will be for a single year which would cover the first academic year. It will provide certainty that a school opening will not be delayed by an outstanding planning application, but will not replace the need to secure planning permission for the use beyond that first year. and;</p> <p>A permitted development right to allow change of use to a new school from offices (B1); hotels (C1); residential institutions (C2); secure residential institutions (C2A); and assembly and leisure (D2). Any subsequent change from a new state-funded school to other uses in non-residential institution class (D1) will not be permitted. These changes will be subject to a prior approval process to mitigate any adverse transport and noise impacts.</p>
2.5	Planning Obligations	Use	None	203, 204, 205	only in part	8.2, 8.3	yes	NPPF (2012); Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Regulations 2010 (as amended)	Local Plan and CIL	<p>Part of policy superseded since publication of CIL Regulations. Appendix 6 in particular still refers to the tests in Circular 05/05. Deletion of the policy without replacement could invalidate s106 SPD. Use of s106 to address unacceptable impacts of development is still advocated in the NPPF (paragraph 203), subject to the criteria set out in the CIL Regulations (as repeated in NPPF paragraph 204). This policy will need to be updated once our boroughwide CIL is adopted.</p>

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
3.1	Environmental effects	Use	None	99, 109, 120	yes	1.1, 7.13, 7.14, 7.15	yes	NPPF (2012), London Plan (2011)	Local Plan	
3.2	Protection of amenity	Use	None	109, 120, 121, 123, 124, 125	yes	5.3, 7.1, 7.2, 7.14, 7.15	yes	NPPF (2012), London Plan (2011)	New Southwark Plan	This policy is used in 26% of development decisions and is an essential element of the Local Plan.
3.3	Sustainability assessment	Use	Policy 1 sets a strategic framework	14, 15	yes	None	yes	NPPF (2012), London Plan (2011)	New Southwark Plan	
3.4	Energy efficiency	Use	None	17, 95, 98	yes	5.1, 5.2	yes	NPPF (2012), London Plan (2011)	New Southwark Plan	The policy is consistent with the London Plan but should be revised through the Local Plan to reflect further detail set out in the London Plan
3.5	Renewable energy	Was not saved in 2010							London Plan policy 5.7	
3.6	Air quality	Use	None	109, 124	yes	7.14	yes	NPPF (2012), London Plan (2011), Southwark Air quality Improvement Strategy (2012-2017) and Action Plan	New Southwark Plan	

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
3.7	Waste reduction	Use	None	7	yes	5.18	yes	South East London Joint Waste Technical Paper	New Southwark Plan	The NPPF does not contain specific waste policies, national waste planning policy will be published as part of the National Waste Management Plan for England. However, local authorities preparing waste plans and taking decisions on waste applications should have regard to policies in the NPPF so far as relevant (para 5)
3.8	Waste management	Use	None	156, 162	yes	5.16, 5.17	yes	South East London Joint Waste Technical Paper	New Southwark Plan	The NPPF does not contain specific waste policies, national waste planning policy will be published as part of the National Waste Management Plan for England. However, local authorities preparing waste plans and taking decisions on waste applications should have regard to policies in the NPPF so far as relevant (para 5)

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
3.9	Water	Use	None	94, 99, 109, 156, 162	yes	5.14, 5.15	yes	NPPF (2012), London Plan (2011), Local Flood Risk Management Strategy, Strategic Flood Risk Assessment	New Southwark Plan	
3.10	Hazardous substances	Use	None	120, 121	yes	5.19, 5.22	yes	NPPF (2012), London Plan (2011)	New Southwark Plan	This policy is required by London Plan Policy 5.22
3.11	Efficient use of land	Use	None	111, 157	yes	3.4, 7.1, 7.4, 7.6	yes	NPPF (2012), London Plan (2011)	New Southwark Plan	
3.12	Quality in design	Use	None	58	yes	3.5, 7.6	yes	NPPF 2012, London Plan 2011, By design 2000, Building for Life 12 2012	New Southwark Plan	The NPPF (section 7) and London Plan (policy 7.6) require good design, though this framework and policy are generally focused on architecture and character. Policy 3.12 sets out a framework for design policies for architecture and urban design and that solutions should be appropriate to the specifics of the site. Policy 3.12 sets out that a Design and Access Statement is required and underpins the Design and Access SPD 2007.

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
3.13	Urban design	Use	None	58, 59, 60, 64	yes	7.2, 7.5, 7.6	yes	Character Area Appraisals, Core Strategy tall building background paper and study, evidence base studies for SPD and AAP	New Southwark Plan	The NPPF (section 7) and London Plan (policy 7.5 and 7.6) require good design, though this framework and policy set out generally considerations for architecture, public realm and character. Policy 3.13 sets out a framework for urban design policies providing more detail on all aspects of urban design to be considered.
3.14	Designing out crime	Use	None	58	yes	7.3	yes	NPPF 2012, London Plan 2011, Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998, Secured by design principles 2004	New Southwark Plan	The NPPF (para 7, 58) and London Plan (policy 7.3) require good design, though this framework and policy are generally focused on architecture and character. Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 require local authorities to do all that is reasonable to prevent crime and disorder in its area, with Policy 3.14 setting out the design considerations to minimise risk of crime.

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
3.15	Conservation of the historic environment	Use	None	58, 126 - 141, 169	yes	7.8, 7.9	Yes	NPPF 2012, London Plan 2011, English Heritage Guidance note: Heritage in local plans - how to create a sound plan under the NPPF 2012, English Heritage guidance on heritage assets and their settings, Conservation area appraisals	New Southwark Plan	Policy 3.15, London Plan Policy 7.8 and NPPF set out broadly consistent policy that seeks to conserve and enhance the historic environment and heritage assets, relative to their character. The London Plan Policy 7.8 and the NPPF, specifically Section 12, set out an emphasis to address the significance of heritage assets. The NPPF and the proposed London Plan amendments also emphasise the requirement to undertake a qualitative assessment of the harm or loss of an asset's significance and whether this can be balanced against public benefits of a proposal (paras 128 – 138). The London Plan Policy 7.8 and the NPPF also emphasise that development should seek to sustain heritage assets with viable uses consistent with their conservation where appropriate. In decision making, both the Southwark Plan and London Plan form our Development Plan. The NPPF is also a material consideration and therefore Policy 3.15 needs to be considered alongside London Plan

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
3.16	Conservation areas	Use	None	58, 126 - 141, 169	yes	7.8, 7.9, 7.10	yes	NPPF 2012, London Plan 2011, English Heritage Guidance note: Heritage in local plans - how to create a sound plan under the NPPF 2012, English Heritage guidance on heritage assets and their settings, Conservation area appraisals	New Southwark Plan	Policy 3.16, London Plan Policy 7.8 and NPPF set out broadly consistent policy that seeks to conserve and enhance heritage assets including conservation areas, relative to their character. The London Plan Policy 7.8 and the NPPF, specifically Section 12, set out an emphasis to address the significance of heritage assets. The NPPF and the proposed London Plan amendments also emphasise the requirement to undertake a qualitative assessment of the harm or loss to a conservation area's significance and whether this can be balanced against public benefits of a proposal (paras 128 – 138). In decision making, both the Southwark Plan and London Plan form our Development Plan. The NPPF is also a material consideration and therefore Policy 3.16 needs to be considered alongside London Plan Policy 7.8 and Section 12 of the NPPF. Para 127 of the NPPF requires local planning authorities to ensure that proposed conservation areas justifies such status because of its special

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
3.17	Listed buildings	Use	None	58, 126 - 141, 169	yes	7.8, 7.9, 7.10	yes	NPPF 2012, London Plan 2011, English Heritage Guidance note: Heritage in local plans - how to create a sound plan under the NPPF 2012, English Heritage guidance on heritage assets and their settings, Conservation area appraisals, Greater London historic environment record	New Southwark Plan	Policy 3.17, London Plan Policy 7.8 and NPPF set out broadly consistent policy that seeks to conserve and enhance listed buildings. The London Plan Policy 7.8 and the NPPF, specifically Section 12, set out an emphasis to address the significance of heritage assets. The NPPF and the proposed London Plan amendments also emphasise the requirement to undertake a qualitative assessment of the harm or loss to an asset's significance and whether this can be balanced against public benefits of a proposal (paras 128 – 138). Development should seek to sustain heritage assets with viable uses consistent with their conservation where appropriate. In decision making, both the Southwark Plan and London Plan form our Development Plan. The NPPF is also a material consideration and therefore Policy 3.17 needs to be considered alongside London Plan Policy 7.8 and Section 12 of the NPPF.
3.18	Setting of listed buildings, conservation areas and world heritage sites	Use	None	126, 128	yes	7.8, 7.9, 7.10	yes	Greater London historic environment record	New Southwark Plan	The NPPF (Para 7, 9, 17, 58 and section 12) and London Plan (policy 7.8, 7.9, 7.10) require conservation and enhancement of the historic environment including the setting of heritage assets. Policy 3.18 sets out more detail to define the setting of heritage assets.

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
3.19	Archaeology	Use	None	128, 169	yes	7.8, 7.9, 7.10	yes	Greater London historic environment record	New Southwark Plan	The NPPF (Para 7, 9, 17, 58 and section 12) and London Plan (policy 7.8, 7.9, 7.10) require conservation and enhancement of the historic environment. Policy 3.18 sets out the basis for identifying Archaeological Priority Zones and assessing applications for potential impacts on archaeological remains.

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
3.20	Tall buildings	Use	None	58, 59, 60, 62, 63, 64, 65	yes	7.7	yes	London Plan 2011, CABE & EH Guidance on tall buildings 2007, Core Strategy boroughwide strategic tall building study 2010, Core Strategy Strategic Policy 12 Design and conservation background paper 2010, Evidence base studies for SPDs and AAPs	New Southwark Plan	The London Plan (policy 7.7) sets out criteria for assessing the location and design of tall building proposals and identifies that boroughs should work with the Mayor to identify areas which are appropriate, sensitive and inappropriate for tall and large buildings. This is supported by the CABE and EH Guidance on tall buildings. Part of Policy 3.20 sets out additional criteria for determining where tall buildings would be appropriate as well as for assessing applications for tall buildings. Core Strategy Strategic Policy 12 sets out more detail on the areas where tall buildings could be located within the borough.
3.21	Strategic views	Was not saved in 2010							London Plan policy 7.11 and 7.12	
3.22	Important local views	Use	None	58, 61, 64, 126	yes	7.1, 7.4, 7.5, 7.8, 7.10, 7.12	yes	London Plan 2011,	New Southwark Plan	Although the NPPF does not explicitly reference important local views, Sections 7 and 12 of the NPPF refer to the importance of local character, distinctiveness, identity and sense of place that important local views can define.

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
3.23	Outdoor advertisements and signage	Use	None	58, 67	yes	7.4, 7.5, 7.6	yes	NPPF 2012, Circular 03/07: Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) (England) Regulations 2007, Outdoor advertisements and signs: a guide for advertisers 2007	New Southwark Plan	The NPPF (para 67 and 68) and Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) (England) Regulations 2007 set out the importance for considering impacts of advertisements and signage in the environment. Policy 3.23 sets out more detail on how we would assess applications for outdoor advertisements, signage including hoardings. The policy sets out additional guidance to the NPPF and the London Plan specifically for Southwark.
3.24	Telecommunications development under the general development permitted order	Use	None	43, 44, 45, 46	yes	7.8, 7.9, 7.10	yes	Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995	New Southwark Plan	The Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995 sets out principles for proposals for telecommunications equipment. Policy 3.24 sets out more detail on how we would assess proposals under Part 24 of the GDPO considering design and potential impacts on the local environment. The policy sets out additional guidance to the NPPF and the London Plan specifically for Southwark.

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
3.25	Metropolitan open land	Use	None	73, 74, 76	yes	2.18, 7.17	yes	Open spaces strategy 2012, Biodiversity action plan 2012	New Southwark Plan	
3.26	Borough open land	Use	None	73, 74, 76	yes	2.18, 7.18	yes	Open spaces strategy 2012, Biodiversity action plan 2012	New Southwark Plan	
3.27	Other open space	Use	None	73, 74, 76	yes	2.18, 7.18	yes	Open spaces strategy 2012, Biodiversity action plan 2012	New Southwark Plan	
3.28	Biodiversity	Use	None	109	yes	2.18, 7.19	yes	Open spaces strategy 2012, Biodiversity action plan 2012	New Southwark Plan	
3.29	Development in the Thames Policy area	Use	None	165	yes	7.29	yes	n/a	New Southwark Plan	
3.30	Protection of riverside facilities	Use	None	NPPF glossary - open space definition	yes	7.29	yes	n/a	New Southwark Plan	

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
3.31	Flood defences	Use	None	94, 100	yes	5.12	yes	Strategic Flood Risk Assessment 2008, Flood Risk Strategy 2012 (including the Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment & Surface Water Management Plan)	New Southwark Plan	
4.1	<i>Density of residential development</i>	<i>Was not saved in 2010</i>								
4.2	Quality of residential accommodation	Use	None	57, 58, 120, 123, 125	yes	policy 3.5 (includes min room sizes)	yes	SHMA 2009 and Housing Requirements Study 2010	New Southwark Plan	Policy 4.2 sets out a requirement for high quality residential accommodation that includes high standards of accessibility, space (including suitable outdoor greenspace), safety and security and protection from pollution.

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
4.3	Mix of dwellings	Use	Mostly replaced by policy 7	50	yes	policy 3.8, 3.9	yes	SHMA 2009 and Housing Requirements Study 2010	New Southwark Plan	The majority of policy 4.3 has been superseded by the Core Strategy Policy 7 which sets out our approach to providing a range of different housing types and sizes. The elements of policy 4.3 that remain are the requirements for the provision of outdoor amenity space, wheelchair housing and the subdivision of single family dwellings. Core Strategy Policy 7 was informed by our Strategic Housing Market Assessment and our Housing Requirements Study which both highlighted that there is a particular shortage of housing suitable for families in Southwark. Whilst the majority of this policy has been taken forward into the Core Strategy, Policy 4.3 still provides more detailed requirements for the provision of outdoor amenity space, wheelchair housing and the subdivision of single family dwellings.

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
4.4	Affordable housing	Use	The percentage of affordable housing required has changed. The Peckham area now also has Nunhead added. The area covered in Camberwell has changed.	47, 50	yes	3.10, 3.11	yes	SHMA 2009 and Housing Requirements Study 2010, Affordable Housing Viability Study 2010	New Southwark Plan	The majority of this policy has been superseded by Core Strategy Policy 6. The elements of policy 4.4 that remain are: - how much affordable housing we expect to be delivered in schemes which provide 10-15 units, and - setting out the tenure mix for specific areas (some of which has been superseded by the relevant AAPs and SPDs). The Core Strategy sets out a clear vision for the provision housing and affordable in Southwark. It is supported by key evidence such as the Strategic housing Market Assessment and our Housing Requirements Study. Our approach to affordable housing was also tested through the Examination in Public process.
4.5	Wheelchair affordable housing	Use	None	50	yes (used with Core Strategy Policy 6)		yes	SHMA 2009 and Housing Requirements Study 2010	New Southwark Plan	Policy 4.5 forms part of a clear vision for housing which is set out in the Core Strategy. In order to meet the identified shortage of affordable housing suitable for wheelchair users, this policy requires one less affordable habitable room, than otherwise stated in Policy 4.4, for every affordable housing unit provided which complies with the wheelchair design standards. Conclusion: This is a specific requirement which ensures that affordable housing meets the identified needs of the Borough, particularly with regard to providing housing that is suitable for those with mobility difficulties. Used alongside Core Strategy Policy 6 this policy is consistent with the NPPF and should be given significant weight in planning decisions.

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
4.6	Loss of residential accommodation	Use	none	none		3.14b	yes	SHMA 2009 and Housing Requirements Study 2010	New Southwark Plan	
4.7	Non-self contained housing for identified user groups	Use	None	50	yes	3.8	yes	SHMA 2009 and Housing Requirements Study 2010	New Southwark Plan	This policy sets out the criteria for determining applications for non-self contained housing. Our evidence did not identify a need for any non self contained homes, therefore we need to control the amount of development of this type which comes forward. This policy requires that any application is accompanied by a statement that sets out the need for and suitability of the accommodation. there is more guidance on this policy in the Affordable Housing and Residential Design Standards SPDs.
4.8	Travellers and gypsy sites	Was not saved in 2010							Core strategy policy 9	
5.1	Locating developments	Use	None	17, 24, 30, 32, 34	yes	2.15, 6.3	yes	NPPF (2012); Transport plan (2011)	New Southwark Plan	
5.2	Transport impacts	Use	None	17, 32, 35	yes	2.15, 6.3	yes	NPPF (2012); Transport plan (2011)	New Southwark Plan	
5.3	Walking and cycling	Use	None	35	yes	6.9, 6.10, table 6.3	yes	NPPF (2012); Transport plan (2011)	New Southwark Plan	
5.4	Public transport improvements	Use	None	30, 31, 41	yes	6.2, 6.4, 6.7	yes	NPPF (2012); Transport plan (2011)	New Southwark Plan	Progress and/or likelihood of delivery of individual schemes to be considered as part of the preparation of the New Southwark Plan.

Policy	Policy name	Use/not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance to NPPF	London plan	General conformity with LP	Evidence	Replacement document	Comments
5.5	Transport Development Areas	Was not saved in 2010							None	
5.6	Car parking	Use	None	39, 40	yes	6.13, table 6.2	yes	NPPF (2012); London Plan (2011); Transport plan (2011)	New Southwark Plan	Mayor's Housing SPG (2012) updates the London Plan car parking standards. These need to be considered alongside those in Appendix 15 of the saved Southwark Plan.
5.7	Parking standards for mobility impaired and disabled people	Use	None	35, 39, 40	yes	6.13	yes	NPPF (2012); Transport plan (2011)	New Southwark Plan	
5.8	Other parking	Use	None	No specific guidance	yes	6.13	yes	NPPF (2012); Transport plan (2011)	New Southwark Plan	
6	Section 6	Was not saved in 2010							None	
7	Section 7	Was not saved in 2010							None	

Overview of the Southwark Plan (Appendix 4)

Appendix	Appendix name	Use/ not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance	London plan	General conformity	Evidence	Replacement document	Response of Southwark Council if required
1	List of Local Development Framework documents	Did not save in 2010								
2	Residential density standards	Do not use	None							Use Residential Design Standards SPD as this appendix is included within this document.
3	Proposals sites schedule	See spreadsheet of sites								
4	Sustainability appraisal and Equalities Impact Assessment of the Southwark Plan	Did not save in 2010								
5	Schedule of Shopping Frontages	Use	60 - 66 Nunhead Grove, 6 - 8 Nunhead Lane	23	yes	4.8,4.9	yes	Retail Capacity Study 2009, Town Centre retail surveys 2012	New Southwark Plan	A full list can be found on the web.
6	Planning Obligations	Do not use								This has been replaced by the Planning Obligations SPD

Appendix	Appendix name	Use/ not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance	London plan	General conformity	Evidence	Replacement document	Response of Southwark Council if required
7	Conservation areas	Use	No changes through core strategy but changes through the conservation area designation process.	126, 127, 128, 169	yes	7.8, 7.9, 7.10	yes	Conservation area appraisals	Adopted policies map	Conservation areas and their boundaries are designated through a separate process. When we publish updates to the Adopted policies map any new boundaries are updated through this process. A list can be found on the web.
8	Archaeological priority zone	Use	2A Bermondsey Lake, 8A London to Lewis Road	128, 169	yes	7.8, 7.9, 7.10	yes	Greater London historic environment record	New Southwark Plan	A full list can be found on the web.
9	Metropolitan Open Land	Use	No Core Strategy updates but changes to existing MOL proposed through AAPs	73, 74, 76	yes	2.18, 7.17	yes	Open spaces strategy 2012	New Southwark Plan/AAPs	A full list can be found on the web.
10	Borough Open Land	Use	No Core Strategy updates but new open spaces proposed through AAPs	73, 74, 76	yes	2.18, 7.18	yes	Open spaces strategy 2012	New Southwark Plan/AAPs	A full list can be found on the web.
13	Other open space	Use	No Core Strategy updates but new open spaces proposed through AAPs	73, 74, 76	yes	2.18, 7.18	yes	Open spaces strategy 2012	New Southwark Plan/AAPs	A full list can be found on the web.
14	Sites of Importance for Nature conservation	Use	No Core Strategy updates but new SINcs proposed through AAPs	109	yes	2.18, 7.19	yes	Open spaces strategy 2012 Biodiversity Action Plan 2012	New Southwark Plan/AAPs	A full list can be found on the web.

Appendix	Appendix name	Use/ not use	Core strategy updates	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance	London plan	General conformity	Evidence	Replacement document	Response of Southwark Council if required
15	Parking standards	Use	None	35,39,40	yes	6.13 (table 6.2) Mayor's Housing SPG updates standards	yes	levels of car ownership; PTALS	New Southwark Plan/AAPs	A full list can be found on the web.
16	Public Transport Accessibility Map	<i>Did not save in 2010</i>								
17	Controlled Parking Zones	<i>Did not save in 2010</i>								
18	Glossary	Use	As set out in appendix 9	n/a	yes	n.a	yes	n/a	New Southwark Plan/AAPs	A full glossary can be found on the web.

Overview of the Southwark Plan sites (Appendix 5)

Site	Site name	Core strategy updates	Replacement document	Response of Southwark Council
1P	5 - 11 Sumner Street			Was not saved in 2010
2P	Tate modern	None	New Southwark Plan	Use as this promotes arts and culture
3P	Adjacent to cannon street footbridge	None	New Southwark Plan	Use as this will provide sustainable transport infrastructure
4P	London bridge	None	New Southwark Plan	Use as this will provide sustainable transport infrastructure
5P	Potters field coach park			Rescind as under construction
6P	St Pauls Sports Ground			Replaced by CWAAP1
7P	Downtown			Replaced by CWAAP3
8P	Manna ash place, Pocock street	None	New Southwark Plan	Use as this provides housing
9P	Library Street Neighbourhood housing office and land between Library Street, St James Street, Milcote Street and Davidge Street			Rescind as built
10P	21 25-29 Harper place	None	New Southwark Plan	Use as this will provide housing
11P	Lupin point parking structure			Rescind as built
12P	Giles House, Carlton House, Darney House between Jamaica Road, Abbey Street and Marine Street			Rescind as built
13P	Casby house parking structure			Rescind as built
14P	St James school			Rescind as there is no implementation plan
15P	Neckinger estate			Rescind as there is no implementation plan

Site	Site name	Core strategy updates	Replacement document	Response of Southwark Council
16P	<i>Land bounded by Abbey Street, Old Jamaica Road, Rouel Street, Frean Street, Spa Road, Thurland Road, Dockley Road and Enid Street</i>			<i>Was not saved in 2010</i>
17P	<i>Land bounded by Old Jamaica Road, Rouel Road, Frean Street and Thurland Road</i>			<i>Was not saved in 2010</i>
18P	<i>Land bounded by Frean Street, Thurland Road, Spa Road and Ness Street</i>			<i>Was not saved in 2010</i>
19P	<i>St James's Road Open Space</i>			<i>Was not saved in 2010</i>
20P	<i>Land bounded by Spa Road, Neckinger, Grange Walk and The Grange</i>			<i>Was not saved in 2010</i>
21P	<i>82-92 Spa Road and 94-118 Spa Road</i>			<i>Rescind as partially built</i>
22P	<i>Land bounded by Dunlop Place, Spa Road and Rouel Street</i>			<i>Rescind as partially built</i>
23P	<i>89 Spa Road</i>			<i>Was not saved in 2010</i>
24P	<i>Land bounded by Grange Road, Grange Road car park and Bermondsey Spa Park</i>			<i>Was not saved in 2010</i>
25P	<i>Grange Road Car Park bounded by Grange Road and Alscot Street</i>			<i>Was not saved in 2010</i>

Site	Site name	Core strategy updates	Replacement document	Response of Southwark Council
26P	Land between 1 and 45 Alscot Road	None	New Southwark Plan	Use as this will provide housing
27P	Site A Canada Water			Rescind as built
28P	Site B Canada Water			Rescind as built
29P	Site C Canada Water			Replaced by CWAAP7
30P	Site D Canada Water			Was not saved in 2010
31P	Site E Canada Water			Replaced by CWAAP8
32P	Mulberry Business Park			Replaced by CWAAP9
33P	Harmsworth Quays			Replaced by CWAAP12
34P	Land bounded by Redriff Road, Quebec Way, St Elmo's Road and Russia Dock Woodlands			Replaced by CWAAP11
35P	Site F Canada Water			Replaced by CWAAP7
36P	Site G Canada Water			Replaced by CWAAP7
37P	Land bounded by Redriff Road, Quebec Way, Surrey Quays Road and Harmsworth Quays			Replaced by CWAAP7
38P	Prospect house playground			Rescind as built
39P	Elephant and Castle core area	None	New Southwark Plan	Use as this will provide a vibrant, central London town centre
40P	Albert Barnes House, New Kent Road			Rescind as built

Site	Site name	Core strategy updates	Replacement document	Response of Southwark Council
41P	Elephant and Castle Leisure Centre			Was not saved in 2010
42P	153-163 Harper Road			Rescind as built
43P	Thornton House, Beckway Street and Comus Place			Rescind as built
44P	Land to the south west of Stewart House, bound by Leroy and Aberdour Street	None	New Southwark Plan	Use as will provide housing and business
45P	17-29 Blue Anchor Lane and 20 Bombay Street	None	New Southwark Plan	Use as will provide housing and business
46P	1-13 Bombay Street, 41-47 Blue Anchor Lane and 51-53 Blue Anchor Lane	None	New Southwark Plan	Use as will provide housing and business
47P	Water sports centre			Was not saved in 2010
48P	Yard in association with marina			Replaced by CWAAP23
49P	Manor Place Depot	None	New Southwark Plan	Use as will provide housing and business
50P	Land bound by Brandon Street and Larcom Street South west			Rescind as built
51P	Nursery Row Park car parks, Wadding and Brandon Street	None	New Southwark Plan	Save as this will provide housing
52P	The Crown, Brandon Street			Was not saved in 2010
53P	Nursery Row park, Brandon Street			Was not saved in 2010
54P	Welsford Street garages/parking area south of Thorburn Square	None	New Southwark Plan	Use as this will provide housing

Site	Site name	Core strategy updates	Replacement document	Response of Southwark Council
55P	Royal Road – former social services day centre			Rescind as built
56P	Old Kent Road Gas Works Site	None	New Southwark Plan	Use as provides a waste facility
57P	6-28 Sylvan Grove	updated policy in core strategy so this is no longer relevant	N/a	Rescind as no longer consistent with NPPF and Core Strategy
58P	Land immediately located to the south east of Bolton Crescent and Camberwell New Road intersection.			Rescind as built
59P	272-304 Camberwell Road	There are no changes from the NPPF, London planning policy or local needs that would require a change in site allocation.	New Southwark Plan	Use as this will provide transport infrastructure
60P	Units 1-31 Samuel Jones Industrial Estate			Was not saved in 2010
61P	Oliver Goldsmith School Extension			Rescind as built
62P	Cator Street, Commercial Way	See PNAAP	Peckham and Nunhead Area Action Plan	Use until replaced by PNAAP8
63P	Sumner house	See PNAAP	Peckham and Nunhead Area Action Plan	Use until replaced by PNAAP16
64P	Flaxyard Site, 1-51 Peckham High Street	See PNAAP	Peckham and Nunhead Area Action Plan	Use until replaced by PNAAP9
65P	Peckham Wharf, Peckham Hill Street	See PNAAP	Peckham and Nunhead Area Action Plan	Use until replaced by PNAAP10

Site	Site name	Core strategy updates	Replacement document	Response of Southwark Council
66P	Camberwell Station Road SE5 9JN	None	New Southwark Plan	Use
67P				Was not saved in 2010
68P	Peckham Rye Station Environs including all of Station Way, 2-10 Blenheim Grove, 3 Holly Grove and 74-82a Rye Lane	None	Peckham and Nunhead Area Action Plan	Use until replaced by PNAAP6
69P	Cinema Site and multi-storey car park, Moncrieff Street	None	Peckham and Nunhead Area Action Plan	Use until replaced by PNAAP2
70P	Tuke School and 2 Wood's Road	None	Peckham and Nunhead Area Action Plan	Use until replaced by PNAAP15
71P	Copeland Road bus garage, 117-149 Rye Lane, 1-27 Bournemouth Road, 133-151 Copeland Road			Was not saved in 2010
72P	Copeland Road car park, and site on corner of Copeland Road and Rye Lane	None	Peckham and Nunhead Area Action Plan	Use until replaced by PNAAP7
73P	Dulwich Hospital, East Dulwich Grove	None	New Southwark Plan	Use as provides community uses

Overview of Aylesbury Area Action Plan (Appendix 6)

Policy number	Policy name	Use/do not use	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance	London plan	General conformity	Evidence	Response of Southwark Council
	Vision and objectives	Use	17	yes	The Mayor's vision and objectives	yes		Para 17 of the NPPF states that planning should be genuinely plan-led, empowering local people to shape their surroundings, with succinct local and neighbourhood plans setting out a positive vision for the future of the area. The AAAP is consistent with this guidance.
MP1	The masterplan	Use	17	yes	The Mayor's vision and objectives	yes		The masterplan is a spatial expression of the AAAP vision and objectives. In accordance with NPPF para 17 it provides a positive vision for the future of the area, seeks high quality design and effective use of land and drives sustainable economic

								development to secure new homes, shops and business space.
MP2	Proposals sites	Use	157	yes	3.3	yes		Consistent with NPPF paragraph 157, policy MP 2 allocates sites for development.
BH1		Use	17, 47, 50	yes	3.3	yes	SHMA 2009; Housing Requirements Study 2010; London Plan 2011	In accordance with NPPF paragraph 17, policy BH1 seeks to support and facilitate provision of new homes.
BH2	Density and distribution of homes	Use	47, 50	yes	3.3, 3.4	yes	SHMA 2009; Housing Requirements Study 2010; London Plan 2011 Examination-in-public position paper: Implementation strategies AAAP background paper: Delivery and implementation	Policies BH2-5 reiterate the core strategy housing policies and are largely consistent with the NPPF. The Aylesbury AAP does not refer to affordable rent as a type of affordable housing as it was adopted before its introduction. The NPPF doesn't say specifically that we have to have a policy on affordable rent, only that we need to

							AAAP Background paper: Housing Density	ensure that Local Plans meet need. The council will investigate the need for affordable rent by updating the housing evidence base and update our approach through the Local Plan review.
BH3	Tenure mix	Use	47, 50	yes	3.8, 3.9, 3.10, 3.12, 3.13, 7.1	yes	SHMA 2009; Housing Requirements Study 2010; Affordable Housing Viability Study 2010 Examination-in-public position paper: Implementation strategies AAAP background paper: Delivery and implementation AAAP Background paper: Tenure and Mix	
BH4	Size of homes	Use	47, 50	yes	3.5	yes	SHMA 2009 and Housing Requirements Study 2010 Examination-in-public position paper:	

							Implementation strategies AAAP background paper: Delivery and implementation AAAP Background paper: Tenure and Mix	
BH5	Type of homes	Use	47, 50	yes	3.5, 3.8	yes	SHMA 2009; Housing Requirements Study 2010; Affordable Housing Viability Study 2010 Examination-in-public position paper: Implementation strategies AAAP background paper: Delivery and implementation AAAP Background paper: Tenure and Mix	

BH6	Energy	Use	17, 95, 98	yes	5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.5, 5.6, 5.7	yes	AAAP background paper: Sustainable Design and Construction Examination-in-public position paper: Energy and sustainable construction	Policy BH6 and BH7 actively support energy efficiency improvements and seek to reduce energy consumption and CO2 emissions, specifically by supporting the inclusion of a district heating system. Both policies are consistent with the NPP
BH7	Sustainable design and construction	Use	17, 95, 98	yes	5.1, 5.2, 5.3	yes	AAAP background paper: Sustainable Design and Construction Examination-in-public position paper: Energy and sustainable construction	
PL1	Street layout	Use	56-61	yes	3.1	yes	AAAP background paper: Delivery and implementation	Policies PL1-PL4 aim to ensure that development is well designed and promotes local distinctiveness
PL2	Design principles	Use	56-62	yes	7.1, 7.2,	yes	AAAP	

					7.3, 7.4		background paper: Delivery and implementation	
PL3	Building block types and layouts	Use	56-63	yes	7.1, 7.2, 7.3, 7.4	yes	AAAP background paper: Delivery and implementation	
PL4	Building heights	Use	56-64	yes	7.6, 7.7	yes	AAAP Background paper: Visual Impact Assessment	
PL5	Public open space	Use	114, 73, 74, 76, 109	yes	3.5, 3.6, 3.19, 7.2, 7.5, 7.18	yes	AAAP Background paper: Open spaces Open space strategy 2012	Policies PL5, PL6 and PL7 provide a strategic approach for the provision and protection of open space and improving biodiversity. It has been informed by an up-to-date assessment of the need and supply of open spaces. It seeks to minimise negative impacts on biodiversity and anticipate future pressures. The policies are consistent with the NPPF.
PL6	Children's playspace	Use	114, 73, 74, 76, 109	yes	3.6	yes	AAAP Background paper: Open spaces	
PL7	Private amenity space	Use	114, 73, 74, 76, 109	yes	2.18, 3.5	yes	AAAP Background paper: Open spaces Open space strategy 2012	
TP1	Designing streets	Use	17, 69	yes	6.3, 6.7,	yes	AAAP	The policy aims to

					6.9, 6.10, 6.12, 7.1, 7.2, 7.5		Background paper: Transport	ensure that a network of well connected walking and cycling routes are provided as part of the redevelopment of the Aylesbury Estate. The policy highlights the role that well designed streets can play in contributing to local character and in helping to create a safe, attractive environment. The policy is entirely consistent with the NPPF.
TP2	Public transport	Use	17, 30, 31, 41	yes	6.1, 6.2, 6.3, 6.11, 7.1	yes	Transport Plan 2011 AAAP Background paper: Transport	Working with transport operators to improve the frequency, quality and reliability of public transport is consistent with the NPPF ambition to create a more sustainable transport system. The deliverability of a high quality public transport scheme along the route that is safeguarded on the adopted policies map should be assessed

								as part of the Local Plan review.
TP3	Parking standards:Residential	Use	30, 39	yes	6.3, 6.7, 6.9, 6.10, 6.11, 6.13	yes	Transport Plan 2011 AAAP Background paper: Transport	The additional guidance is in general conformity with the guidance in the NPPF since it explicitly refers to accessibility levels, the type of development (i.e. number of family units) and impacts on the highway, as well as planned improvements to public transport, which are covered in policy TP2.
COM1	Location of social and community facilities	Use	23, 30, 70, 162	yes	3.1, 3.7, 3.16	yes	AAAP Background paper: Social and community infrastructure AAAP Background paper: Economic development strategy	This policy brings together policies COM 2-6. The policy supports the provision of education, health and community facilities, and promotes the clustering together of shops, health, employment and community facilities in order to make them more viable as well as more convenient and accessible for residents. The aim is

							<p>to create a successful and sustainable neighbourhood and to provide the community with a choice of opportunities to meet its needs which is consistent with the NPPF.</p> <p>The proposals schedule in appendix 5 of the AAP sets out further information on the strategic sites to deliver the indicative quantum of new social and community floorspace. The Delivery and Implementation section of the AAP was prepared by working with other council departments and stakeholders to understand the requirements for additional infrastructure to provide for the social and community needs of the residents. Policy COM1 is</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

								consistent with the NPPF and should be given significant weight in determining planning applications.
COM 2	Opportunities for new business	Use	17, 21, 160	yes	4.2, 4.4, 4.12	yes	Employment land review 2009; London economic development strategy AAAP Background paper: Economic development strategy	<p>Policy COM2 forms part of a clear economic vision and strategy for the AAP area. The AAP vision sets out the objective to reinforce the area as a place for families to live, and provide new local opportunities for shopping and employment in Thurlow Street and East Street, as well as supporting existing town centres.</p> <p>Policy COM2 sets out the approach to the provision of employment floorspace, including setting out the key site where there will be new employment floorspace. The majority of businesses</p>

								<p>in the borough are small and medium sized enterprises. The policy seeks to ensure an adequate supply of space for such businesses in the AAP area. This policy also assists with providing affordable business space including incubator units, managed workspace and accommodation for small businesses, social enterprises and the cultural industry sector. Our Employment Land Review (2010) provides the evidence to justify this requirement for the provision of small, flexible office employment accommodation in the area to allow local people to start up small businesses.</p> <p>The proposals schedule in appendix 5 of the AAP sets out</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

								further information on the strategic site to deliver this quantum of employment floorspace. Policy COM2 is consistent with the Core Strategy Policy 10 and the NPPF and should be given significant weight in determining planning applications.
COM 3	Health and social care	Use	23, 30, 70, 162	yes	3.17, 7.1	yes	AAAP Background paper: Social and community infrastructure	Policy COM3 sets out the approach to the provision of new health facilities in the action area to address the population growth, stating which sites are the preferred locations for health facilities and that the council will work with providers to ensure that appropriate provision is made. Co-location of community facilities is also planned. This is consistent with the thrust of the NPPF which seeks to ensure that facilities are delivered which

								<p>enhance the sustainability of communities, promoting the use of shared spaces and are located in areas with good public transport accessibility</p> <p>The proposals schedule in appendix 5 of the AAP sets out further information on the strategic site to deliver this quantum of medical and health facilities floorspace. The Delivery and Implementation section of the AAP was prepared by working with other council departments and stakeholders to understand the requirements for additional infrastructure to provide for the social and community needs of the residents. Policy COM2 is consistent with the Core Strategy Policy 10 and the</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

								NPPF and should be given significant weight in determining planning applications.
COM 4	Education and learning	Use	23, 30, 70, 162	yes	3.18	yes	NPPF (2012); GLA pupil projections; Building Schools for the Future: Strategic business case (2006)	<p>Policy COM4 promotes provision of facilities for early education and childcare space to address the impact of the change in population in the action area and also highlights the plans which are underway to address the expansion of primary and secondary education facilities, which is consistent with the NPPF in enhancing the sustainability of communities and to address their needs.</p> <p>The policy sets out an indicative quantum of 1,150 square metres of pre-school facilities required which will be provided in three or four locations, and preferably co-located</p>

								<p>with other facilities. Policy COM4 also sets out that we will work with providers to ensure that replacement pre-school facilities are provided at the right time and existing pre-school facilities keep running through the course of the redevelopment causing less disruption to people living in the area. This is consistent with the thrust of the NPPF which seeks to ensure that facilities are delivered which enhance the sustainability of communities, promoting the use of shared spaces and are located in areas with good public transport accessibility. The proposals schedule in appendix 5 of the AAP sets out further information on the strategic site to</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

								deliver this quantum education floorspace. The Delivery and Implementation section of the AAP was prepared by working with other council departments and stakeholders to understand the requirements for additional infrastructure to provide for the social and community needs of the residents. Policy COM4 is consistent with the NPPF and should be given significant weight in determining planning applications.
COM 5	Community space, arts and culture	Use	23, 70	yes	3.16, 4.6	yes	AAAP Background paper: Social and community infrastructure	Policy COM 5 seeks to make provision for around 500sqm flexible multi-use D1 community space in the action area core to meet a range of community functions and needs to support the residential

								<p>population in the Aylesbury action area. This is consistent with the thrust of the NPPF which seeks to ensure that facilities are delivered which enhance the sustainability of communities, promoting the use of shared spaces and are located in areas with good public transport accessibility. The proposals schedule in appendix 5 of the AAP sets out further information of the strategic site to deliver this quantum of floorspace. The delivery and implementation section of the AAP was prepared by working with other council departments and stakeholders to understand the requirements for additional infrastructure to provide for the social</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

								and community needs of the residents. Policy COM5 is consistent with the NPPF and should be given significant weight in determining planning applications.
COM 6	Shopping/retail	Use	70	yes	4.8, 4.9	yes	Retail Capacity Study 2009, Town Centre retail surveys 2012	Policy COM6 promotes the provision of around 1750 sqm of new convenience shopping space across the action area based upon a review of the needs of the projected population over the 15 year plan period. Policy COM6 seeks to ensure that local shops are available for residents to meet day-to-day needs in locations which are accessible, consistent with the NPPF. The council's retail strategy has recently been reviewed and updated through the Core Strategy. Increased shopping floorspace is

								planned for the Elephant and Castle town centre therefore new large scale facilities are not needed and the policy will provide small scale facilities closer to where people live. Policy COM6 complements Policy COM1 which promotes a range of new local retail facilities such as shops, cafes and pubs, a post office and other services within easy reach will be provided to meet local needs. Policy COM6 is consistent with the NPPF and should be given significant weight in determining planning applications.
D1	Phasing	Use	157, 173, 177	yes	8.1	yes	Examination-in-public position paper: Implementation strategies AAAP	Policy D1 sets out that we will release the allocated sites in the area in accordance with a phasing programme set out in

							background paper: Delivery and implementation	Appendix 7. This is to ensure that manageable development parcels are created which will be attractive to the market and the council will ensure that risk is minimised and managed by bearing the up-front cost of securing the land, to take away the cost and risk of land assembly from prospective private sector development partners and funders. This is intended to encourage a higher level of private sector confidence in developing on the Aylesbury estate and enabling it to secure more competitive funding terms, leading to enhanced viability. The policy also states that this approach will also help the council to respond to the various property market, macro-
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

								<p>economic, financial risks and other factors that change over time and will affect how and when, sites are taken to the market, which is consistent with the thrust of the NPPF. The supporting text to Policy D1 sets out the phasing programme will enhance the viability of the projects and minimise risk, which is consistent with the NPPF which promotes competitive returns to a landowner/developer to enable development to be deliverable. The phasing programme may be adjusted and revised in order to ensure that the project objectives continue to be met. Policy D1 is consistent with the thrust of the NPPF in that it identifies the need to ensure the timely delivery of infrastructure and viability issues in the</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

								development of sites
D2	Infrastructure funding	Use	31, 162, 177, 203, 204, 205	yes	7.1, 8.2	yes	Examination-in-public position paper: Implementation strategies AAAP background paper: Infrastructure tariff and s106 AAAP background paper: Delivery and implementation	Policy D2 is compliant with the guidance in the NPPF; it makes references to the tests set out above. The principle of using s106 within the parameters of the CIL Regulations is a sound one and, in light of this, the policy should be afforded significant weight. The supporting text to the policy refers to an s106 infrastructure tariff to secure financial contributions, so this policy will need to be updated once our boroughwide CIL is adopted. Whilst some of the funding sources set out in Table 1 may not now be applicable, the Policy still takes account of changes in market conditions over time and is sufficiently flexible to prevent planned development being stalled.

Appendix 5: Schedule of proposals sites AAAP1	1a: (1-12 Red Lion Close; 1-41 Bradenham; and the Aylesbury Day Centre); 1b: (1-35 Chartridge; 42-256 Bradenham; 69-76 Chartridge; 77-105 Chartridge; Ellison House; 1-28 Arklow House); 1c: (36-68 Chartridge; 106-119 Chartridge; 120-149 Chartridge; and 1-172 Chiltern); 7: (1-27 Wolverton; and 28-59 Wolverton); 10: (Youth Club Amersham; and 300-313 Missenden)	Use	157	yes	3.3, 3.4	yes	Aylesbury AAP masterplan AAP background paper: Delivery and Implementation	Site 1a: Permission is part completed. Planning permission granted for Site 7. CPO Inquiry scheduled to take place in March 2013. AAAP phasing plan: Phase 1 - 2009-2016
Site AAAP 2	4a (391-471 Wendover; 1-30 Foxcote; 140 Albany Road; 24-36 Ravenstone; and 67-81 Ravenstone); 4b (241-390 Wendover; 1-30 Winslow; 1-25 Padbury; 1-23 Ravenstone; and 37-66 Ravenstone); 5	Use	157	yes	3.3, 3.4	yes	Aylesbury AAP masterplan AAP background paper: Delivery and Implementation	AAAP phasing plan: Phase 2 - 2013-2018

	(37-62 Wendover; 117-156 Wendover; 201-240 Wendover; 126-151 Wolverton; 152-175 Wolverton; and 176-192 Wolverton)							
Site AAAP 3	6 (1-36 Wendover; 73-116 Wendover; 157-200 Wendover; 60-84 Wolverton; 1-14 Brockley House; 105-125 Wolverton; and 85-104 Wolverton); 8 (218 A-F East Street); 9 (1-215 Taplow; 184 A-F East Street; 1-20 Northchurch; 21-40 Northchurch; 41-56 Northchurch; Aylesbury Day Nursery; 57-76 Northchurch; Tykes Corner; and Aylesbury Access Centre)	Use	157	yes	3.3, 3.4	yes	Aylesbury AAP masterplan AAP background paper: Delivery and Implementation	Anticipated completion of phase 4: 2020-2027
Site AAAP 4	2a (1-35 Gayhurst; 62-79 Gayhurst; 145-162 Gayhurst; and 80-120 Gayhurst); 2b (36-61 Gayhurst; 1-	Use	157	yes	3.3, 3.4	yes	Aylesbury AAP masterplan AAP background paper: Delivery	Anticipated completion of phase 4: 2020-2027

	<p>20 Hambledon; 1-18 Gaitskell House; 121-144 Gayhurst; 1-24 Calverton; and 19-31 Gaitskell); 2b (36-61 Gayhurst; 1-20 Hambledon; 1-18 Gaitskell House; 121-144 Gayhurst; 1-24 Calverton; and 19-31 Gaitskell); 3b (1-31 Latimer; 86-113 Latimer; 1-6 Emberton; 1-31 Danesfield; 25-31 Calverton; 32-42 Gaitskell House; 43-66 Gaitskell House; and 62-85 Latimer); 11 (Amersham Community Centre; 284-299 Missenden; 77-105 Michael Faraday House; and 57-76 Michael Faraday House); 12 (59-75 Missenden; 256-283 Missenden; 166-255 Missenden; 1-36 Michael Faraday House; 37-56 Michael Faraday House); 13 (1-30 Soane House; 31-35</p>					<p>and Implementation</p>	
--	---	--	--	--	--	-------------------------------	--

	Soane House; 1-12 Lees House; 77-105 Darvell House; 51-67 odd Inville Road; 1-8 Chadwell House; and 47/47a Villa Street); 14 (44-58 Missenden; 76-165 Missenden; and 1-43 Missenden)							
--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Overview of Canada Water Area Action Plan (Appendix 7)

Section	Policy number	Policy name	Use/do not use	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance	London plan	General conformity	Evidence	Response of Southwark Council
Vision and objectives			Use	17	Yes	The Mayor's vision and objectives	Yes		Para 17 of the NPPF states that planning should be genuinely plan-led, empowering local people to shape their surroundings, with succinct local and neighbourhood plans setting out a positive vision for the future of the area. The AAAP is consistent with this guidance. In his report on the AAP which followed the examination-in-public, the Planning Inspector noted that "Whilst still a draft document, the National Planning Policy Framework (NPF) indicates the importance of the plan-led system, wherein positive long-term visions for an area are enabled. This is achieved by the AAP" (paragraph 36, Inspector's Report, November 2011).
4.2 Shopping	1,2,3,4,5	1.Shopping in the town centre. 2. Cafes and restaurants in the town centre. 3. Important shopping parades. 4. Small scale shops, restaurants and cafes outside the town centre. 5. Markets	Use	23	Yes	2.13, 2.15, 4.7, 4.8, 5D.2, Annex 2	Yes	Retail Capacity Study 2009, Town Centre Retail Surveys 2012, Streets Trading and Market Strategy 2012	The policies in this section describe how the council will create an accessible, distinctive and vibrant town centre. The council's retail strategy has recently been reviewed and updated through the Core Strategy. The council has undertaken an assessment of need and set out a strategy which involves creating a genuine town centre at Canada Water to provide a wider range of shops and services. It also sets out policies for ensuring that residents have access to day-to-day convenience shops and facilities across the AAP area.
4.3 Transport	6, 7, 8, 9, 10	6. Walking and cycling. 7. Public transport. 8. Vehicular traffic. 9. Parking for retail and leisure. 10. Parking for residential development in the Core Area.	Use	31, 35, 39	Yes	6.2, 6.3, 6.7, 6.9, 6.10, 6.12	Yes	Transport Plan 2011 Canada Water Development Impact Report 2010	Policy 7 and 8 state that the council will work with TfL to improve public transport. Policy 6 states that the council will support and improve walking and cycling routes and facilities. The NPPF is not prescriptive in terms of parking standards. It implies that London boroughs can rely on the Mayor's standards rather than prescribing their own standards. There is however discretion as to what standards should be used, with the NPPF only establishing some factors to take into account.

Section	Policy number	Policy name	Use/do not use	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance	London plan	General conformity	Evidence	Response of Southwark Council
4.4 Leisure	11, 12, 13	11. Leisure and entertainment. 12. Sports facilities. 13. Arts, culture and tourism	Use	23, 30, 70	Yes	2.13, 2.15, 4.7, 4.8, Annex 2	Yes	Employment Land Review 2010, Economic Well-being strategy 2012, Southwark Retail Capacity Study 2009, Town Centre retail surveys 2012	Policy 13 supports arts, cultural and tourism in the AAP area, particularly in the town centre and the strategic cultural area. This is consistent with the thrust of the NPF which seeks to protect town centres and ensure that facilities which attract a lot of people are located in areas with good public transport accessibility. All the policies in this section work together to provide for recreational and cultural facilities.
4.5 Places	14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20	14. Streets and public spaces. 15. Building blocks. 16. Town centre development. 17. Building heights on sites in and adjacent to the core area. 18. Open spaces and biodiversity. 19. Children's play space. 20. Energy	Use	17, 58, 59, 60, 73, 74, 76, 95, 109, 114, 126, 127, 128	Yes	3.5, 5.1, 5.2, 5.5, 5.6, 7.1, 7.2, 7.3, 7.4, 7.5, 7.6, 7.7, 7.8,	Yes	Canada Water Town centre Feasibility Study 2010, Canada Water AAP Viability Assessment 2010, CW AAP Background paper: Urban Design; English Heritage Guidance note: Heritage in local plans - how to create a sound plan under the NPPF 2012, English Heritage guidance on heritage assets and their settings,	Policies 14-17 aim to ensure that development is well designed and promotes local distinctiveness. They set out criteria which are not prescriptive. Criteria regarding heritage seek to ensure that the significance of the heritage assets are considered. Policy 18 provides a strategic approach for the provision and protection of open space and improving biodiversity. It has been informed by an up-to-date assessment of the need and supply of open spaces. It seeks to minimise impacts on biodiversity and anticipate future pressures. Policy 20 actively supports energy efficiency improvements and reduce energy consumption and CO2 emissions, specifically by supporting the inclusion of a district heating system.

Section	Policy number	Policy name	Use/do not use	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance	London plan	General conformity	Evidence	Response of Southwark Council
4.6 Housing	21, 22, 23, 24	21. New homes. 22. Affordable homes. 23. Family homes. 24. Density of developments	Use	47, 50	Yes	2.13, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.7, 3.8, 3.9, 3.10, 3.11, 3.12, 3.13, 3.14	Yes	SHMA 2009 and Housing Requirements Study 2010, Affordable Housing Viability Study 2010; Canada Water AAP Viability Assessment 2010,	Policies 21-24 reiterate the core strategy housing policies and are largely consistent with the NPPF. However, the NPPF does not say specifically that we have to have a policy on affordable rent, but that need to ensure that Local Plan meets the need. The council may need to carry out a new SHMA or housing requirements study to investigate the need for affordable rent in more detail. The council will investigate the need for affordable rent by updating the housing evidence base and update our approach through the Local Plan review.
4.7 Community	25, 26, 27, 28, 29	25. Jobs and business space. 26. Schools. 27. Community facilities. 28. Early years. 29. Health facilities.	Use	22,160,162	Yes	2.13, 2.15, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5	Yes	Employment land review 2009, Southwark Economic Well-being Strategy 2012-2016, , London Economic Development Strategy, Mayor's SPG on Land for Industry and Transport 2012, London Industrial Land Demand and Release Bencharks, Roger Tym and Partners 2012, London Office Policy Review 2012	The AAP vision sets out the economic strategy and vision for the area. Policy 25 sets out the approach to jobs and businesses, including setting out the key sites where there will be new office and light industrial space. The proposals schedule in appendix 8 of the AAP sets out further information on the strategic sites to deliver the economic vision. The strategy is founded on a robust analysis of current and future needs for business space (the Employment Land Review, 2010). Policies 26-29 support the provision of education, health and community facilities, stating that the council will work with providers to ensure that appropriate provision is made.

Section	Policy number	Policy name	Use/do not use	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance	London plan	General conformity	Evidence	Response of Southwark Council
5. Places and sites	30	30. Albion Street. 31. Lower Road. 32. Proposals sites	Use	157	Yes		Yes	Albion Street Café Conversations 2009; Canada Water Town centre Feasibility Study 2010; Canada Water Development Impact Report 2010	The proposals sites are consistent with the NPPF and should be given significant weight in determining planning applications.
6. Delivering the AAP	33	Section 106 planning obligations	Use	31, 203, 204	Yes	8.2	Yes	Canada Water Development Impact Report 2010; Canada Water AAP Viability Assessment 2010,	The policy will be updated in the revised AAP to reflect the fact the transport infrastructure improvements are likely to be funded through CIL.
Appendix 8: Schedule of proposals sites	Site CW AAP 1	St Pauls Sports Ground	Use	157	Yes	7.18	Yes	Open space strategy 2013	
	Site CW AAP 2	Land adjacent to Surrey Docks Stadium	Use	157	Yes	3.3, 3.4	Yes	Planning permission	
	Site CW AAP 3	Downtown	Use	157	Yes	3.3, 3.4	Yes		Planning permission granted.
	Site CW AAP 4	Albion Primary School	Use	157	Yes	3.3, 3.4	Yes		

Section	Policy number	Policy name	Use/do not use	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance	London plan	General conformity	Evidence	Response of Southwark Council
	<i>Site CW AAP 5</i>	<i>Site A (Land north of Surrey Quays Road and Needleman Street)</i>	<i>Do not use</i>		Yes	3.3, 3.4	Yes	<i>Planning permission</i>	<i>Due for completion Summer 2013</i>
	<i>Site CW AAP 6</i>	<i>Site B (Land bounded by Surrey Quays Road, the Canada Water basin and Albion Channel)</i>	<i>Do not use</i>		Yes	3.3, 3.4	Yes	<i>Planning permission</i>	<i>Completed</i>
	Site CW AAP 7	Decathlon site, Surrey Quays Leisure Park, Surrey Quays Shopping Centre and overflow car park	Use	157	Yes	3.3, 3.4	Yes	Canada Water Town centre Feasibility Study 2010, Canada Water AAP Viability Assessment 2010	The Council is preparing revisions to the AAP and will revise guidance for CWAAP7, CWAAP8, CWAAP9 and CWAAP12.
	Site CW AAP 8	Site E (Land at the corner of Surrey Quays Road and Quebec Way)	Use	157	Yes	3.3, 3.4	Yes		The Council is preparing revisions to the AAP and will revise guidance for CWAAP7, CWAAP8, CWAAP9 and CWAAP12.
	Site CW AAP 9	Mulberry Business Park	Use	157	Yes	3.3, 3.4	Yes	Planning permission	The Council is preparing revisions to the AAP and will revise guidance for CWAAP7, CWAAP8, CWAAP9 and CWAAP12.
	Site CW AAP 10	24-28 Quebec Way	Use	157	Yes	3.3, 3.4	Yes		Although no planning applications have been received for the site, it would be available for development, subject to satisfactorily relocating existing occupiers.
	Site CW AAP 11	Quebec Industrial Estate	Use	157	Yes	3.3, 3.4	Yes	Planning permission	Planning permission has been granted for a mixed use scheme on the site.
	Site CW AAP 12	Harmsworth Quays	Use	157	Yes	3.3, 3.4	Yes		The Council is preparing revisions to the AAP and will revise guidance for CWAAP7, CWAAP8, CWAAP9 and CWAAP12.
	Site CW AAP 13	Fish Farm	Use	157	Yes	7.18	Yes	Open space strategy 2013	
	Site CW AAP 14	Rotherhithe Police Station and Landale House	Use	157	Yes	3.3, 3.4	Yes		Subject to providing replacement police facilities elsewhere in the AAP area.

Section	Policy number	Policy name	Use/do not use	NPPF paras	Consistency in substance	London plan	General conformity	Evidence	Response of Southwark Council
	Site CW AAP 15	23 Rotherhithe Old Road	Use	157	Yes	3.3, 3.4	Yes		The site is owned by an RSL which has been seeking to obtain planning permission for a residential development.
	Site CW AAP 16	41-55 Rotherhithe Old Road	Use	157	Yes	3.3, 3.4	Yes		The site is owned by an RSL which has been seeking to obtain planning permission for a residential development.
	Site CW AAP 17	Rotherhithe Primary School	Use	157	Yes	3.3, 3.4	Yes		
	Site CW AAP 18	247-251 Lower Road	Use	157	Yes	3.3, 3.4	Yes		The site is vacant and has planning permission for a mixed use development. Southwark will seek to purchase a strip of land on the northern return frontage to widen Plough Way.
	Site CW AAP 19	Tavern Quay (East and West)	Use	157	Yes	3.3, 3.4	Yes	Planning permission	These two adjacent sites are in single (private) ownership. Planning permission has been granted for mixed use developments. Development on the west site has been implemented and partially constructed
	Site CW AAP 20	Surrey Docks Farm	Use	157	Yes	3.3, 3.4	Yes		Surrey Docks Farm are preparing a planning application for the site and raising funds to implement development.
	Site CW AAP 21	Docklands Settlement	Use	157	Yes	3.3, 3.4	Yes	Planning permission	Planning permission has been granted for a mixed use scheme.
	Site CW AAP 22	Odessa Street Youth Club	Use	157	Yes	3.3, 3.4	Yes		The site is in council ownership. Docklands Settlement have prepared a scheme to re-house the youth club on that site.
	Site CW AAP 23	St Georges's Wharf	Use	157	Yes	3.3, 3.4	Yes		The site is in council ownership.